

| Proposal Title : | Coffs Harbour LEP 20 1208609. |)13 - Addit | ional Permitted Uses - Ca | ravan Park at Bonville - Lot 1, DP |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Proposal Summary : | This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 by including Lot 1 1208609, 369 Pine Creek Way, Bonville in Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses for the purpose of a caravan park and including a 5 year timeframe for granting consent. | | | |
| PP Number : | PP_2016_COFFS_001 | _00 | Dop File No : | 16/09189 |
| roposal Details | | | | |
| Date Planning Proposal Received : | 04-Jul-2016 | | LGA covered : | Coffs Harbour |
| Region : | Northern | | RPA : | Coffs Harbour City Council |
| State Electorate : | COFFS HARBOUR | | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning Proposal |
| LEP Type : | Precinct | | | |
| Location Details | | | | |
| Street : 369 |) Pine Creek Way | | | |
| Suburb : | | City : | Bonville | Postcode : |
| Land Parcel : Lot | t 1, DP 1208609 | | | |
| Street : 369 | Pine Creek Way | | | |
| Suburb : | | City : | Bonville | Postcode : |
| Land Parcel : Lot | 1, DP 1208609 | | | |
| DoP Planning Offic | cer Contact Details | | | |
| Contact Name : | Jon Stone | | | |
| Contact Number : | 0267016968 | | | |
| Contact Email : | jon.stone@planning.ns | w.gov.au | | |
| RPA Contact Deta | ils | | | |
| Contact Name : | Marten Bouma | | | |
| Contact Number : | 0266484657 | | | |
| Contact Email : | marten.bouma@chcc.n | sw.gov.au | | |
| DoP Project Manag | ger Contact Details | | | |
| Contact Name : | | | | |
| Contact Number : | | | | |
| Contact Email : | | | | |

| and Release Data | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Growth Centre : | N/A | Release Area Name : | N/A |
| Regional / Sub Regional Strategy : | | Consistent with Strategy : | |
| MDP Number : | | Date of Release : | |
| Area of Release (Ha) : | 5.20 | Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) : | |
| No. of Lots : | 0 | No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : | 91 |
| Gross Floor Area : | 0 | No of Jobs Created : | 0 |
| The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : | Yes | | |
| If No, comment : | | ing and Environment's Code of Pract etings with lobbyists has been comp | |
| Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? ; | No | | |
| If Yes, comment : | | s not met with any lobbyists in relatio lvised of any meeting between other proposal. | |
| upporting notes | | | |
| Internal Supporting Notes : | both Pine Creek Way and approved caravan park. 5.2ha in area. Caravan Pa | ed approximately 13km south west of I Bonville Station Road. The site curr The land is zoned RU2 Rural Landsca arks are a prohibited land use in the F e business relies on 'existing use rig | ently accommodates an ape and is approximately RU2 zone of the Coffs |
| | consents for the use of the former lots has been com | n consolidated from two previous lot he land as a caravan park. A develop nmenced but not completed. This Pla ons for the potential redevelopment c | ment consent on one of the nning Proposal is required to |
| External Supporting Notes : | | | |
| | | | |
| quacy Assessment | | | |
| | | | |
| s a statement of the obj | · | | dan af the Dianc's a D |
| Comment : | | ctives adequately describes the inten amend the Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 | |

| Explanation of provis | ions provided - s55 | (2)(b) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Is an explanation of provis | sions provided? Yes | |
| Comment : | objectives of the Plan Harbour LEP 2013. A development consent | ovisions adequately addresses the intended method of achieving the ning Proposal via Schedule 1 - Additional Permitted Uses of Coffs sunset clause is proposed to be included in the schedule so that a for the redevelopment of the caravan park can only be granted s to provide an impetus for the redevelopment to occur. |
| Justification - s55 (2)(| c) | |
| a) Has Council's strategy | been agreed to by the D | irector General? Yes |
| b) S.117 directions identifi | ied by RPA : | 1.2 Rural Zones |
| * May need the Director G | ieneral's agreement | 1.5 Rural Lands 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.3 Site Specific Provisions |
| Is the Director General | 's agreement required? | |
| c) Consistent with Standar | rd Instrument (LEPs) Ord | der 2006 : |
| d) Which SEPPs have the | RPA identified? | SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks SEPP No 36—Manufactured Home Estates SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 |
| e) List any other matters that need to be considered : | Mid North Coast Reg Council's local settle supporting strategic | ement strategy 'Our Living City Settlement Strategy 2008' and |
| Have inconsistencies with | items a), b) and d) being | g adequately justified? Yes |
| If No, explain : | | |
| Mapping Provided - s | 55(2)(d) | |
| Is mapping provided? Yes | | |
| Comment : | | eal includes mapping that identifies the location of the subject land propriate for community consultation. |
| | requirements for LEF | accordance with the Department's standard technical ⁹ maps in relation to the additional permitted use will need to be legal drafting of the amendment. |
| Community consultati | on - s55(2)(e) | |
| Has community consultation | on been proposed? Yes | |
| Comment : | nature of the proposa | d a 28 day exhibition period for the proposal. Due to the minor al, a 14 day exhibition period is considered appropriate. Council I the exhibition of the Planning Proposal should it wish. |
| | | |

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

| If No, comment : | The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by: |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes; |
| | Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes; |
| | 3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal; |
| | 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program. |
| | Council has requested an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the Planning Proposal deals with matters of only local significance, it is considered |
| | appropriate that an authorisation to exercise plan making delegations be issued to |
| | Council if the Planning Proposal is supported. |
| | Council has provided a project time line that suggests the LEP Amendment will be |
| | completed in December 2016. It is considered that a 9 month time frame would be |
| | appropriate to ensure sufficient time to complete the proposal. |

Proposal Assessment

| Principal LEP: | |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Due Date : | |
| Comments in relation to Principal LEP : | Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 was made in September 2013. |
| Assessment Criteria | I Contraction of the second |
| Need for planning proposal : | This Planning Proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report. The owners of the land have requested Council amend the LEP to facilitate the ongoing operation and future development of the site. |
| | The subject land (Lot 1, DP 1208609) was recently created by the consolidation of two lots - Lot 104, DP 876697 and Lot 501, DP 606422. Lot 104 had been used as a caravan park for over 40 years and had approval for 43 long term sites. In 2009 development consent was granted to extend the caravan park onto Lot 501. This approval provided for 48 short term sites, a community hall and associated facilities. The currency of that consent has not been confirmed by Council, however, according to the applicant, work on the development consent has commenced. |
| | The Coffs Harbour Local Environmental Plan 2013 zoned the subject land RU2 Rural Landscape and caravan parks became a prohibited use in the zone. As a consequence, the entire caravan park now relies on existing use rights. |
| | Prior to the 2013 LEP, this the land was zoned Rural 1A Agriculture and Environmental Protection 7B Scenic Buffer under the Coffs Harbour City Local Environmental Plan 2000. Under the Coffs Harbour City LEP 2000, caravan parks were permitted with development consent within the Rural 1A Agriculture Zone for short term residency only but were prohibited in the Environmental Protection 7B Scenic Buffer Zone. |

Council has determined that the most appropriate means of securing options for the future development of the caravan park is to seek an amendment to Schedule 1 (Additional Permitted Uses) of LEP 2013. The proposed sunset clause will provide an impetus for the development to proceed.

Other options were considered by Council, including a rezoning of the property to an RE2 Private Recreation Zone, however this was seen to be contrary to the future growth strategies for the Bonville area.

| Canalate '*' | Nid North Count Danismal Starts |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Consistency with strategic planning | Mid North Coast Regional Strategy This Proposal is generally consistent with the actions of Mid North Coast Regional |
| framework : | Strategy. One action states "New caravan parks and manufactured home estates where there is any potential for permanent accommodation to occur, generally should be located |
| | in urban areas." It is considered that this proposal will secure and facilitate the |
| | development of an existing caravan park rather than create a 'new' caravan park outside |
| | of an urban area. It is also noted that under Council's adopted local strategy the likely |
| | future use of the land and its surrounds is for urban purposes. |
| | Draft North Coast Regional Plan |
| | The Planning Proposal is considered to be generally consistent with the draft plan with respect to maintaining and generating additional tourist lands (Direction 4.1) and future |
| | employment opportunities (Direction 2.1) at the site without compromising the |
| | environmental values of the site (Direction 1.3 & 2.3). |
| | Local Planning Strategies |
| | Our Living City Strategy - Coffs Harbour City Council |
| | The Our Living City Settlement Strategy (OLC Strategy) was prepared in 2007 and is part of Council's Growth Management Strategy. The OLC Strategy was prepared pursuant to |
| | Clause 38(3) of the North Coast Regional Environmental Plan 1988, and sets out a future for |
| | the growth and development of the Local Government Area until 2031. |
| | The OLC Strategy identifies the Bonville area as an area for rural residential development |
| | (i.e., large lot housing) and residential development (i.e. conventional housing lots). The |
| | OLC Strategy envisages the population of Bonville to grow to 2,830 by the year 2031. The key strategies for the Bonville area include: |
| | • Develop as a Coastal Hinterland area; |
| | Enhance riparian corridors to provide ecological links between coast and hinterland; |
| | Maintain and enhance the rural residential character; and |
| | Recognise holiday, tourism and recreation appeal. |
| | The subject land is located in a future urban / residential investigation area and in close |
| | proximity to a potential future commercial village centre as identified in the OLC Strategy. |
| | It is considered that such proximity to the proposed village centre would contribute to the |
| | viability of the commercial enterprises, provide an affordable housing option and recognise the tourism appeal of the area. It is considered the continued operation and |
| | expansion of a caravan park in this location is not incompatible with the OLC Strategy and |
| | the flexible nature of caravan parks allows for potential land use changes in the future in |
| | accordance with the Strategy. |
| | SEPPs |
| | The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all the relevant State Environmental Planning Policies including SEPP 44 Koala Habitat Protection, SEPP 55 |
| | Remediation of Land, SEPP 64 Advertising and Signage, SEPP Infrastructure and SEPP |
| | Rural Lands. |
| | Section 117 Directions |
| | The following s117 Directions are applicable to the Planning Proposal. As discussed |
| | below, the proposal is either consistent or justifiably inconsistent with the relevant Directions. |
| | While Council has identified the proposal as being inconsistent with s117 Directions 1.2 |
| | Rural Zones, 1.5 Rural Lands and 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, it is considered that the proposal is not inconsistent with these directions as: |
| | - the proposal does not rezone rural land for urban purposes; |
| | - it is consistent with the rural planning principles of SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008; - the site has been identified as having a low to moderate environmental value and has |
| | The site has been identified as naving a low to moderate environmental value and has |

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

This Direction applies to this Planning Proposal as part of the subject land is identified on the Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 Acid Sulfate Soils map as containing acid sulfate soils (Class 5). The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance due to the size of the land parcel involved, the minor nature of any potential excavation of the site and because this issue can be adequately addressed at the development application stage as required by the acid sulfate provisions of Coffs Harbour LEP 2013.

4.3 Flood Prone Land

This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is flood prone. The proposal will permit the development of a caravan park on land that is flood prone. The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance due to the existing developed nature and approvals applying to the site, design of the proposed caravan park avoiding areas that are prone to flooding, and the minimal impact the development would have on flood waters. The Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 has standard flood planning control provisions.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is identified as bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service before consistency with the Direction can be granted. A bushfire assessment report is included in supporting information with the Planning Proposal but this report is dated 2008. Council has advised that the NSW RFS has been contacted and they raised on objection to the Planning Proposal. Evidence of this correspondence is needed before this Direction can be resolved.

6.3 Site Specific Provisions

The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it seeks to apply a 5 year sunset clause for the approval of the caravan park to provide impetus to the development. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance due the existing use rights that apply to the site already and due to the identification of the likely future use of the land as residential under Council's local growth management strategy (with caravan parks permissible within the proposed residential zone).

Environmental social economic impacts : The Planning Proposal will have a positive social and economic impact. It will expand upon an existing tourist facility in the local area, generate employment opportunities, and will contribute to the local economy. It will also provide additional long term sites that may increase options for affordable housing in the area.

The Planning Proposal is expected to have a negligible impact on the environment due to the highly modified nature of the land.

Part of the site is subject to a 1:100 year flood event. The proposed development plans avoid these areas and council's existing development controls satisfactorily address the level of development on flood prone land.

Part of the land is identified as being Primary Koala Habitat. Despite this, the identified area contains cleared land occupied by the existing caravan park structures and access roads. The Ecological Report supporting the Planning Proposal found there to be no significant impact on threatened species and a Species Impact Statement is not required. It is considered that due to the current disturbed nature of the site there will be a negligible impact on Koalas or other threatened species in the area due to the proposed expansion of the caravan park. The redevelopment of the land in the future is also considered likely to result in rehabilitation and enhancement requirements that will improve the environmental values of the site.

The Bushfire Assessment Report that supports this Planning Proposal was prepared in 2008 (presumably to support the development application approved in 2009). This report, although dated, indicates that the development of the site is possible with the inclusion of asset protection zones and other mitigating measures. Consultation with the NSW Rural

Fire Service is required to ensure they are satisfied with the current proposal.

The site has previously included a petrol station which has since been removed and Council has advised the land has been remediated in accordance with Council's standards and the requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy No.55 – Remediation of Land.

A Cultural Heritage assessment was undertaken by the Coffs Harbour and District Local Aboriginal Land Council in 2008 and this Assessment did not identify any objects of Aboriginal origin. It is considered that this report, despite its age, would still be relevant.

Assessment Process

| Proposal type : | Minor | | Community Consultation Period : | 14 Days |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| Timeframe to make LEP : | 9 months | | Delegation : | RPA |
| Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) : | NSW Rural Fire Servi | ce | | |
| Is Public Hearing by the | PAC required? | No | | |
| (2)(a) Should the matter | proceed ? | Yes | | |
| If no, provide reasons : | | | | |
| Resubmission - s56(2)(b |) : No | | | |
| If Yes, reasons : | | | | |
| Identify any additional st | udies, if required. : | | | |
| If Other, provide reasons | :: | | | |
| Identify any internal cons | ultations, if required : | | | |
| No internal consultation | n required | | | |
| Is the provision and fund | ing of state infrastructur | e relevant | to this plan? No | |
| If Yes, reasons : | | | | |

Documents

| Document File Name | DocumentType Name | Is Public |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| 2016-07-04 Cover Letter.pdf | Proposal Covering Letter | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 Planning Proposal.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 Onsite wastewater dispoasl Report.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 Ecological Report.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 Bushfire Report.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 Landscape Plan.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 Vegetation Management Plan.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 AHIMS Search Results.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 Stormwater Management Report.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2016-07-04 Traffic Report.pdf | Proposal | Yes |

| 2016-07-04 Draft LEP M 2016-07-04 Map.pdf | ap.pdf | Мар Мар | Yes Yes | | |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| nning Team Recomr | nendation | | | | |
| Preparation of the planni | ng proposal supported at this | stage : Recommended with Condition | ons | | |
| S.117 directions: | 1.2 Rural Zones | | | | |
| | 1.5 Rural Lands | | | | |
| | 3.2 Caravan Parks and Ma | nufactured Home Estates | | | |
| | 3.4 Integrating Land Use a | nd Transport | | | |
| | 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils | | | | |
| | 4.3 Flood Prone Land | | | | |
| | 4.4 Planning for Bushfire I | | | | |
| | 5.1 Implementation of Reg | | | | |
| | 6.3 Site Specific Provision | S | | | |
| Additional Information | It is recommended that: | | | | |
| | 1. The planning proposal | be supported. | | | |
| | 2. The Planning Proposal | be exhibited for 14 days. | | | |
| | 3. The Secretary's delegat | te agree that the inconsistencies wit | th | | |
| | | ulfate Soils, 4.3 Flood Prone Land a | | | |
| | | of minor significance and note the | - | | |
| | | on 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect | | | |
| | 4. Consultation with the N Direction 4.4 Planning for | SW Rural Fire Service be undertake Bushfire Protection: | n in accordance with S117 | | |
| | , | , | | | |
| | 5 Draft LEP Maps prepared | I in accordance with the Departmen | t's standard technical | | |
| | | s in relation to additional permitted | uses are to be prepared | | |
| | prior to the legal drafting o | of the amendment. | | | |
| | 6. Delegation to finalise the | e Planning Proposal be issued to th | e Council. | | |
| Supporting Reasons : | The Planning Proposal is s | supported as it is the most appropri | ate means of securing | | |
| | | lopment of the caravan park with th | _ | | |
| | | he development to proceed. | • • | | |
| | | | | | |
| Sizzatura | U | L | | | |
| Signature: | | | | | |
| | 1 - | | | | |
| | Crang Diss | | July 2016 | | |